

16x1

6/Electronics
11-15-01 Time
R.G.

Attorney Docket No. 98 P 7451 US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Jack A. Mandelman et al.
Title: FIELD-SHIELD-TRENCH
ISOLATION FOR GIGABIT DRAMS
Serial No.: 09/245, ~~169~~ 269
Filed: February 5, 1998

Examiner: DiLinh Nguyen
Art Unit: 2814

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RECEIVED

MAY - 1 2001

Assistant Commissioner For Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Enclosed is a response to the Office Action dated March 23, 2001, an associate power of attorney, a set of six (6) sheets of drawings containing figures 1-13, a copy of the previously received stamped post card assigning a US serial number, a petition and fee for extension of time to respond, and a postcard.

Pursuant ot the enclosed associate power of attorney, please forward all furure correspondence to me at the address below.

Respectfully submitted,
Jack A. Mandelman et al., Applicants

By: 

Irwin Ostroff
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No.: 26013

11/13/2001 FJONES1 00000001 192179 09245269
01 FC:115 110.00 CH

April 30, 2001

Irwin Ostroff, Esquire
OSTROFF & ASSOCIATES
3 Lackawanna Boulevard
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Tel. No.: (908) 464-0248
Fax. No.: (908) 464-3431

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Jack A. Mandelman et al.

Examiner: DiLinh Nguyen

Title: FIELD-SHIELD-TRENCH
ISOLATION FOR GIGABIT DRAMS

Art Unit: 2814

Serial No.: 09/245,169

Filed: February 5, 1998

Assistant Commissioner For Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
MAY - 1 2001
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated March 23, 2001, please note the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 remain in the application. Claims 1 and 2 have been elected as is denoted below. Non-elected claims 3-20 remain in the application to allow the filing of divisional applications.

Applicant has attached hereto an associate power of attorney and a Petition and Fee For Extension Of Time Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The Examiner objected to the drawings or lack thereof under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because the drawings fail to show the Detailed Description for Figs. 1-13 as described in the specification. The Examiner alleges that two figures were submitted that are unrelated to the specification and that correction is required.